2017 PROXY SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

April 2017

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.

Annual meeting overview

page 98

Logistics	
Date:	Tuesday, May 16, 2017
Time:	10:00 a.m. Eastern Time
Place:	JPMorgan Chase & Co. Delaware Technology Center 880 Powder Mill Road Wilmington, Delaware 19803
Phone:	(866) 541-2724 in the U.S. and Canada (706) 634-7246 international
Audiocast:	www.jpmorganchase.com/events-presentations
Attending in person:	You will be required to present a valid form of government-issued photo identification, such as a driver's license or passport, and proof of ownership of our common stock as of our record date March 17, 2017. For more details, see 2017 Proxy Statement

Map and directions



- The entrance to the campus is indicated by the STAR \star
- Visitors should park in the Flat Lot and use the walkway to the Visitors Entrance in DTC-1. This is the site of the annual meeting

Matters to be voted on



The Board of Directors recommends you vote <u>FOR</u> each director nominee and <u>FOR</u> the following proposals:

Election of directors

Advisory resolution to approve executive compensation

Ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Firm's independent registered public accounting firm

The Board of Directors recommends you select "<u>One Year</u>" on the frequency of the advisory resolution to approve executive compensation:

Advisory vote on frequency of advisory resolution to approve executive compensation

🔀 Shareholder proposals

The Board of Directors recommends you vote <u>AGAINST</u> each of the following shareholder proposals:

- Independent board chairman
- 6 Vesting for government service
- Clawback amendment
- B Gender pay equity
- 9 How votes are counted
- O Special shareowner meetings

Notable changes since 2016 Annual Meeting and performance highlights

 Since Ma have join 	Combs elected in Se ay 2011, five independent the Board, each set of skills and expendent	ndent directors bringing a	chanç comm	nuary 2017, Board ges to Audit and R nittees Mr. Bell became	isk Policy	updating m Business –	any topics fro - The Report"	ed ESG Repo om 2014's "Ho o be published	w W
	elieves refreshment (ynn joined the co		2017			
	to an effective govern			<u>Policy</u> : Ms. Bamm and Mr. Combs jo hittee		how we lev		oviding inform sources and c challenges	
Strong 20)16 performance	continues to	support su	stained shareh	older value				
Net Inc \$24 BILL Rec	4.7 .ION	Earnings Per Share \$6.19 Record		ROTCE ¹ of 13% higher capital	per \$	e Book Value share ¹ of 5 51.44 5 from 2015		Returned \$15 BILLIO areholders (div et share repur	viden
Sustainor	d shareholder val	ue ("TSR") ²							
Justamet									
\$260	\$100 invested in		of 2007 wou	ld have yielded \$2	246 at the end	d of 2016			\$
	\$100 invested in .	JPM at the end							\$
\$260	\$100 invested in . Total Return	JPM at the end		Bank Index S8	P Financials In				ę
\$260 \$240	\$100 invested in . Total Return Index Values	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146%		Bank Index S8 26%	P Financials In 18%			/	\$
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$200	\$100 invested in . Total Return	JPM at the end		Bank Index S8	P Financials In				\$
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$200 \$180	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35%		Bank Index S8 26% 29%	P Financials Ind 18% 23%				\$
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$200 \$180 \$160	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex			\$
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$200 \$180 \$160 \$140	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex	JPM Outperf	formance	\$
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$180 \$160 \$140 \$120	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex	JPM Outperf	formance	
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$180 \$160 \$140 \$120 \$100	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex	JPM Outperf	formance	
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$180 \$160 \$140 \$120 \$100 \$80	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex	JPM Outperf	formance	
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$180 \$160 \$140 \$120 \$100 \$80 \$60	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex			
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$180 \$160 \$140 \$120 \$100 \$80	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex	JPM Outperf		
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$180 \$160 \$140 \$120 \$100 \$80 \$60	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex			;
\$260 \$240 \$220 \$180 \$160 \$140 \$120 \$100 \$80 \$60 \$40	\$100 invested in Total Return Index Values 1-Year 3-Year	JPM at the end JPMorgan Chas 146% 35% 60%		Bank Index S8 26% 29% 41%	&P Financials In 18% 23% 39%	dex			

¹ Return on tangible common equity ("ROTCE") and tangible book value per share ("TBVPS") are each non-GAAP financial measures. For a reconciliation and explanation of these non-GAAP measures, see page 20. On a comparable GAAP basis for 2016, return on equity ("ROE") was 10% and book value per share ("BVPS") was \$64.06 ² Total shareholder return assumes reinvestment of dividends

The Firm has generated strong multi-year financial performance



¹ Growth rates are based on an 8-year compound annual growth rate. Note: For a reconciliation and explanation of non-GAAP measures, see page 20

 \sim

Fortress principles and important shareholder rights

Fortress principles – we maintain fortress operating principles with focus on capital, liquidity, risk, controls and culture

- We maintained our fortress balance sheet, growing our Basel III Advanced Fully Phased-In common equity Tier 1 ("CET1") capital ratio¹ by 60 bps to 12.2% and maintaining \$524 billion of high quality liquid assets
- We continued to strengthen and reinforce our culture and business principles. The culture and conduct program is a key priority for every line of business and function
- We have embedded our business principles throughout the employee life cycle, starting with the recruiting and onboarding process and extending to training, compensation, promoting and disciplining employees
- We have invested significantly in our control environment including a control headcount of 43,000 professionals with a control spend of approximately \$8 billion

Shareholder rights – the Firm's By-Laws and Certificate of Incorporation provide shareholders with important rights

- Proxy access: enables eligible shareholders to include their nominees for election as directors in the Firm's proxy statement. Proxy access is described in more detail on page 101 of the 2017 Proxy Statement
 - A shareholder (or group of up to 20 shareholders) who has continuously owned at least 3% of the Firm's outstanding shares for at least three consecutive years may nominate up to 20% of the Board (but in any event at least two directors)
- Special meeting: the ability to call a special meeting by shareholders holding at least 20% of the outstanding shares of our common stock (net of hedges)
- Written consent: the ability of shareholders holding at least 20% of the outstanding shares of our common stock (net of hedges) to act by written consent on terms substantially similar to the terms applicable to call special meetings

S

5

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.

We are committed to good corporate governance and are engaged with our shareholders

Shareholder engagement

- The Board maintains a robust Lead Independent Director role and is committed to sound and commonsense governance principles.
- Our Board has endorsed the Shareholder Director Exchange (SDX) Protocol as a guide for engagement.
- In 2016, our shareholder engagement initiatives included:
 - Shareholder Outreach: More than 90 discussions on strategy, financial performance, governance, compensation, and environmental & social issues with shareholders representing over 40% of our shares
 - Annual Investor Day: Senior management gave presentations at our annual Investor Day on strategy and financial performance
 - Meetings/Conferences: Senior management hosted more than 60 investor meetings and presented at 12 investor conferences
 - Annual Meeting: Our CEO and Lead Independent Director presented to shareholders at the Firm's annual meeting

Recent updates

Governance

Our engagement process, and the feedback gained from it, was a significant factor in the Board's continued effort to appoint new directors as well as rotate directors across key committees.

Compensation

In response to a strong say-on-pay vote last year (92% support) and positive shareholder feedback, for our 2016 pay program we maintained the changes that were made in 2015, including:

PSU Program	CEO Pay Mix	Clawback Policy
Forward looking equity	Smaller portion of	Increased transparency
with payout formulaically	variable compensation	by disclosing whether
determined based on	in cash, with 100% of	any clawbacks have
both absolute and relative	equity in the form of	taken place for senior
ROTCE performance	at-risk PSUs	executive officers

- In addition to the above, other aspects of our pay program continue to be aligned with the interest of shareholders, including:
 - Holistic assessment of performance in determining variable pay award levels while using a formula to determine PSU value at vesting
 - Strong stock ownership guidelines and retention requirements
 - No special executive benefits/severance or golden parachutes
 - Rigorous process to review risk and control which may impact compensation pools and individual pay
 - Strong cancellation and clawback provisions cover both cash and equity awards

Agenda



JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.

The Board recommends you vote **FOR** each director nominee

The Board has nominated 12 directors: 11 independent directors and the CEO

Nominee		Age	Principal Occupation	Director of JPMorgan Chase since ¹	Other Public Co. Boards (#)	Committee Membership ²
Linda B. Bammann		nda B. Bammann 61 Retired Deputy He Management of J		2013	0	Directors' Risk Policy (Chair)
	James A. Bell	68	Retired Executive Vice President of The Boeing Company	2011	3	Audit (Chair)
Ø	Crandall C. Bowles	69	Chairman Emeritus of The Springs Company	2006	1	Audit; Public Responsibility (Chair)
	Stephen B. Burke	58	Chief Executive Officer of NBCUniversal, LLC	2004 Director of Bank One Corporation from 2003 to 2004	1	Compensation & Management Development; Corporate Governance & Nominating
	Todd A. Combs	46	Investment Officer at Berkshire Hathaway Inc.	2016	0	Directors' Risk Policy; Public Responsibility
P.	James S. Crown	63	President of Henry Crown and Company	2004 Director of Bank One Corporation from 1991 to 2004	1	Directors' Risk Policy
	James Dimon	61	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of JPMorgan Chase & Co.	2004 Chairman of the Board of Bank One Corporation from 2000 to 2004	0	
	Timothy P. Flynn	60	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of KPMG	2012	3	Audit; Public Responsibility
R	Laban P. Jackson, Jr.	74	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Clear Creek Properties, Inc.	2004 Director of Bank One Corporation from 1993 to 2004	0	Audit
	Michael A. Neal	64	Retired Vice Chairman of General Electric and Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of GE Capital	2014	0	Directors' Risk Policy
Q.	Lee R. Raymond (Lead Independent Director)	78	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Exxon Mobil Corporation	2001 Director of J.P. Morgan & Co. Incorporated from 1987 to 2000	0	Compensation & Management Developme (Chair); Corporate Governance & Nominating
	William C. Weldon	68	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Johnson & Johnson	2005	2	Compensation & Management Development; Corporate Governance & Nominating (Cha
For foot	tnoted information, refer to pa	ige 21		7	JPI	Morgan Chase & Co.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") Roadmap

For additional detail, see 2017 Proxy Statement pages <u>33-74</u>



How did we pay our CEO?

- The Board awarded Mr. Dimon \$28 million of total compensation in 2016, up \$1 million from the previous year
- The Board considered the Firm's consistently strong performance under Mr. Dimon's stewardship over the short-, medium- and long-term



What are our pay practices?

- Shareholder-aligned compensation philosophy
- Risk and control are integral to compensation decisions
- Strong stock ownership guidelines and retention requirements
- ✓ No special executive benefits/severance or golden parachutes

How do we address risk and control?

- Rigorous process to review risk and control which may impact compensation
 pools and individual pay
- · Strong cancellation and clawback provisions cover both cash and equity awards

Clawback Trigger ³	Vested	Unvested
Restatement	✓	✓
Misconduct	✓	✓
Risk-related	✓	✓
Performance		✓

¹ Return on tangible common equity ("ROTCE") is a non-GAAP financial measure. For a reconciliation and explanation of this non-GAAP measure, see page 20

² Includes dividends and net share repurchases

SALS

0

0

Ľ

۲

⊢ Z

Ш ≥

MANAGE

³ See page 59 of the 2017 Proxy Statement for more details on clawbacks

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.

Pay elements for the 2016 performance year

For additional detail, see 2017 Proxy Statement pages <u>33-74</u>

Elements ¹		ariable	Purpose Description		Veeting	Subject to
Elements	CEO NEOs Purpose Description		Vesting	Clawback		
Fixed						
Salary	N/A	N/A	 Fixed portion of total pay that enables us to attract and retain talent 	 Only fixed source of cash compensation Base salary of OC members has remained flat since 2011 	N/A	
Variable						
Cash Bonus	~20%	40%	Provides a competitive annual cash incentive opportunity	 Payout determined and rewarded after end of performance year Represents less than half of OC members' variable compensation 	Immediately vested, subject to bonus recoupment provision	\checkmark
RSUs ³	0%	30%	 RSUs serve as a strong retention tool PSUs reinforce accountability by linking objective targets to a formulaically determined payout PSUs and RSUs provide a sementitive mix of 	 Both RSUs and PSUs are subject to protection-based vesting Both RSUs and PSUs are subject to the retention/ownership policy applicable to all OC members RSUs and PSUs do not carry voting rights Dividend equivalents are paid on the RSUs at the time actual dividends are paid on JPMorgan Chase common stock 	 Generally vest over three years — 50% after two years, with the remaining 50% after three years 	✓
PSUs⁴	~80%	30%	 a competitive mix of time-based and performance-based equity awards Both PSUs and RSUs are aligned with long- term shareholder interests as payout value fluctuates up or down based on stock price performance 	 Payout based on absolute ROTCE and relative ROTCE Performance goals remain the same for entire award term Payout levels range from 0–150% PSUs are settled in shares of common stock Dividends accrue and are paid out in shares of common stock at vesting based on units earned See page 46 of the 2017 Proxy Statement for additional details on program 	 3-year performance period Award cliff vests after the end of the 3-year performance period, with shares subject to an additional 2-year hold (for a combined period of approximately 5 years) 	✓

10

2016 CEO compensation

For additional detail, see 2017 Proxy Statement pages <u>33-74</u>

Mr. Dimon's 2016 compensation is aligned with his multi-year performance

- In assessing Mr. Dimon's performance and determining his pay, the Board considered his achievements holistically against business results, risk and control, customers and clients, and people and leadership. The Board took into account Mr. Dimon's performance in leading the Firm over a sustained period of time, including strong performance in 2016
 - I. Business results: During 2016, the Firm again achieved record net income and record EPS, while generating strong ROTCE results of 13%¹ on average tangible common equity of \$180 billion¹ (vs. \$170 billion in 2015)
 - II. Risk and Control: The Board also recognized that Mr. Dimon deployed substantial resources to fortify our control environment, which has led to a control infrastructure that better permeates across and deeply within our businesses. Mr. Dimon has fostered a culture that seeks continuous improvement and regards the risk and control agenda as a top priority, which reflects the Firm's ability to successfully adapt to an evolving regulatory landscape
 - **III. Customers and Clients:** Mr. Dimon has guided the Firm's focus on creating and enhancing services that add value to our customers and clients through product innovation, cutting edge technologies, and simplified processes
 - **IV.People and Leadership:** Mr. Dimon's stewardship over the Firm's People and Leadership agenda, has led to a highly effective management development program (Leadership Edge), a robust pipeline of leaders across the organization and a diversity strategy that attracts, motivates, and retains some of the best possible talent
- Based on Mr. Dimon's performance, the Board increased his annual compensation to \$28 million (from \$27 million in 2015). The Board also considered other factors, some of which are set forth on pages 47-49 of the 2017 Proxy Statement



JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.

S

S A

¹ TBVPS and ROTCE are each non-GAAP financial measures. For a reconciliation and explanation of this non-GAAP measures, see page 20 ² Despite record net income and 15% ROTCE, the Board exercised discretion relating to risk and control and reduced Mr. Dimon's pay in 2012

Performance Share Unit ("PSU") program overview

For additional detail, see 2017 Proxy Statement pages 33-74

		Performance Year 20	016 PSU Award	Description		
Vehicle		Value of units moves with stock price during performance period; ur	nits are settled in	shares at vesting		
Time Horizon		3-year cliff vesting, plus an additional 2-year holding period (for a co	ombined 5-year h	nolding period)		
Performance Measures	•	After evaluation, the Compensation & Management Development C financial performance that reflects the Firm's profitability as well as balance sheet. It measures how well management is using common manage our business, and is used by the Firm as well as investors a	use of its equity, n shareholders' e	thereby incorporating equity to generate prof	g both the income st fit. It is a primary me	atement and the easure by which w
Payout Grid		Payout under the PSU plan will be calculated annually based	Absolute ROTCE	Payout	Relative ROTCE	Payout
		on absolute and relative ROTCE per the formulaic payout grid	>=14%	150%	1st Quartile	150%
		below. Absolute and relative performance metrics help promote a fair outcome for both shareholders and participants.	6% to <14%	Pay by relative	2nd Quartile	100% to 125%
		In January 2017, the CMDC set maximum payout at an ROTCE	6%10<14%	ROTCE Scale	3rd Quartile	70% to 100%
		level of 14% (or greater).	<6%	0%	4th Quartile	25% to 55%
Relative Performance Goals	ŀ	capital outcomes over the next three years. These outcomes were or requirements, annual stress tests, interest rates and the economic of term. Specifically, the CMDC recognized that the Firm earned record net each year. As tangible common equity in the denominator compound	environment, all o income in each o nds with retained	of which affect the rar of the last three years earnings, continually	nge of ROTCE outco , which resulted in F higher net income i	omes in the med ROTCE of 13% ir n the numerator
Performance		requirements, annual stress tests, interest rates and the economic e term. Specifically, the CMDC recognized that the Firm earned record net	environment, all o income in each o ods with retained d net income woo 2 billion of additio elative ROTCE p nces in which we nce results in tar	of which affect the rar of the last three years earnings, continually uld be required to incr onal net income in ord performance goals, the outperform our peers get payout (100%) co	nge of ROTCE outco , which resulted in F higher net income i rease ROTCE to 14 ler to achieve 14% F e CMDC determined s, with below target possistent with peer p	OTCE of 13% ir ROTCE of 13% ir n the numerator %. For illustrative ROTCE. d that payout abo payout occurring practices, and wh
Performance	•	requirements, annual stress tests, interest rates and the economic eterm. Specifically, the CMDC recognized that the Firm earned record net if each year. As tangible common equity in the denominator compounneeded each year to maintain 13% ROTCE, and even higher record purposes, in 2016, the Firm would have needed to generate over \$2 Consistent with our pay-for-performance philosophy, in setting the retarget for previously granted PSU awards should be limited to instar instances of under- performance. Achievement of median performance the CMDC believes is a fair and balanced outcome. Payout of 150%	environment, all o income in each o ods with retained d net income woo 2 billion of additio elative ROTCE p nces in which we nce results in tar 6 is limited to out at least 30% of o	of which affect the rar of the last three years earnings, continually uld be required to incr onal net income in ord performance goals, th outperform our peers get payout (100%) co tstanding relative perf our revenue mix	nge of ROTCE outco , which resulted in F higher net income i rease ROTCE to 14 ler to achieve 14% F e CMDC determined s, with below target posistent with peer p formance, which the	Omes in the med ROTCE of 13% in n the numerator %. For illustrative ROTCE. d that payout abo payout occurring practices, and wh CDMC determin
Performance Goals PSU Performance	•	requirements, annual stress tests, interest rates and the economic eterm. Specifically, the CMDC recognized that the Firm earned record net if each year. As tangible common equity in the denominator compoun- needed each year to maintain 13% ROTCE, and even higher record purposes, in 2016, the Firm would have needed to generate over \$2 Consistent with our pay-for-performance philosophy, in setting the re- target for previously granted PSU awards should be limited to instar- instances of under- performance. Achievement of median performan- the CMDC believes is a fair and balanced outcome. Payout of 150% to be in the top 25% of peers (or top 3).	environment, all o income in each o ads with retained d net income woo 2 billion of additio elative ROTCE p nces in which we nce results in tar 6 is limited to out at least 30% of o Suisse, Deutsch	of which affect the rar of the last three years earnings, continually uld be required to incr onal net income in ord performance goals, th e outperform our peers get payout (100%) co tstanding relative perf our revenue mix he Bank, Goldman Sa omics of the award in	nge of ROTCE outco , which resulted in F higher net income i rease ROTCE to 14' ler to achieve 14% F e CMDC determine s, with below target posistent with peer p ormance, which the chs, HSBC, Morgar light of changed cir	Comes in the medi ROTCE of 13% ir n the numerator %. For illustrative ROTCE. d that payout abo payout occurring practices, and wh CDMC determine n Stanley, UBS, a roumstances (e.g

 \checkmark

Proposal #2: The Board recommends you vote **FOR** the executive compensation program PSU Program time horizon – Performance year 2016 award For additional detail, see 2017



Pay practices are aligned with compensation philosophy

For additional detail, see 2017 Proxy Statement pages 33-74



Proposal #2: The Board recommends you vote **FOR** the executive compensation program *Ownership guidelines & retention requirements*

For additional detail, see 2017 Proxy Statement pages 33-74

OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES & RETENTION REQUIREMENTS

Ownership Guidelines: While on the Operating **Illustrative Example** Committee, each member is required to own a minimum of between 200,000 and 400,000 shares (1,000,000 for CEO)¹. Guideline levels must be OC member with 50K 25K achieved within 6 years from the effective date of net shares vesting Increasing Share Ownership each year must retain policy, or if later, appointment to the Operating 25K of those shares² Committee. Share 25K 25K Retention Retention Requirements: In addition to the above **Requirements** guidelines, Operating Committee members are 25K 25K required to hold 75% of all net shares they receive from awards, until they achieve their respective ownership guideline, and 50% thereafter (75% for 25K 25K 25K 25K CEO) **Purpose:** This policy is designed to increase share Minimum ownership above required levels, thereby further **Ownership** strengthening the interests of Operating Committee **Requirements** 300K 300K 300K 300K 300K members with those of shareholders (within first 6 years of becoming Going Beyond the Requirements: Mr. Dimon not **OC**) only complies with the above, but has not sold a single share of JPMorgan Chase or Bank One common Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 stock, since he became CEO of Bank One in 2000

¹ Shares that count toward the required ownership levels include shares owned outright and 50% of unvested RSUs and PSUs (but do not include stock options or stock appreciation rights)

S

² Example assumes individual has achieved minimum ownership requirement of 300K shares, otherwise must retain 75% of shares vesting (37.5K)

Proposal #3: The Board recommends you vote **FOR** ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

3

- Ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Firm's independent registered public accounting firm
- The members of the Audit Committee and the Board believe that continued retention of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") as the Firm's independent external auditor is in the best interests of JPMorgan Chase and its shareholders
- The Audit Committee annually reviews PwC's independence and performance in connection with the determination to retain PwC
- It is JPMorgan Chase's policy not to use PwC's services other than for audit, audit-related and tax services
- In accordance with SEC rules and PwC policies, audit partners are subject to rotation requirements to limit the number of consecutive years of service an individual partner may provide audit service to our Firm. The lead audit partner may provide service to our Firm for a maximum of five consecutive years
- Commencing with the 2016 audit, a new lead audit partner has been designated for the Firm who is expected to serve in this capacity through the end of the 2020 audit. The Audit Committee was directly involved in the selection of the new lead audit partner

Proposal #4: The Board recommends you vote ONE YEAR on frequency of advisory resolution

Advisory vote on frequency of advisory resolution to approve executive compensation

- We currently include an advisory vote on executive compensation on an annual basis
- Providing an annual advisory vote on executive compensation gives all shareholders an opportunity to provide timely input to management and the Board
- Shareholders are not voting to approve or disapprove the Board's recommendation. Because this is an advisory vote, it will not be binding upon the Board of Directors
 - However, the Board will take into account the outcome of the vote when making future decisions on the frequency of advisory votes on executive compensation
- The next shareholder advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on executive compensation will be no later than 2023

Agenda

Page

Management proposals

Shareholder proposals

17

7

K The Board recommends you vote **AGAINST** this shareholder proposal

Independent board chairman – require an independent chair

- The Board of Directors has a fiduciary duty to act as it believes to be in the best interests of the Firm and its shareholders, and should retain the flexibility to determine the leadership structure that will best serve those interests
 - The adoption of a policy requiring in all circumstances that the Chairman of the Board be an independent director could limit the Board's ability to choose the person best suited for the role at a particular time
- The Firm's Corporate Governance Principles provide that the Board annually, and in connection with succession planning and the selection of a new CEO, review and determine whether the role of Chairman should be a non-executive position or combined with that of the CEO (see page 18 of the 2017 Proxy Statement for factors the Board may consider as part of its review of its leadership structure)
 - Early in 2017, the Board reviewed its leadership, structure and determined that, at the present time, Mr. Dimon's combined role as Chairman and CEO provides the Firm and the Board with strong leadership and continuity of expertise in the Firm's business and corporate governance matters
- The Firm's current governance structure provides the independent leadership and management oversight sought by the proposal (see pages 18 and 19 of the 2017 Proxy Statement for additional details)
 - The Lead Independent Director has significant authority and responsibilities with respect to the operation of the Board to protect shareholders' interests by promoting strong management oversight and accountability, including:
 - Call a Board meeting (as well as a meeting of the independent directors of the Board) at any time
 - Preside over Board meetings when the Chairman is absent or his participation raises a possible conflict
 - Approve Board meeting agendas and add agenda items
 - Preside over executive sessions of independent directors, which take place at every regularly scheduled in-person Board meeting
 - Meet one-on-one with the CEO at every regularly scheduled in-person Board meeting
 - Guide the annual performance evaluation of the Chairman and CEO
 - Guide independent director consideration of CEO compensation
 - Guide full Board consideration of CEO succession issues
 - Guide the annual self-assessment of the full Board
 - Facilitate communication between management and the independent directors
 - Be available for consultation and communication with shareholders and other constituencies where appropriate
- The Board regularly seeks and considers feedback from shareholders on the Firm's leadership structure (see page 26 of the 2017 Proxy Statement for additional details)
 - Many of our shareholders have expressed the opinion that there is no "one size fits all" solution and that the Board's fiduciary responsibility is best fulfilled by retaining the flexibility to choose the most effective leadership structure for the particular set of facts facing the Firm at any point in time
- The Board's belief in the importance of retaining the flexibility to determine the best leadership structure is consistent with the policies and practices at other large companies
- According to the Shearman & Sterling's 2016 Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation Survey, of the top 100 U.S. public companies, 76 give the board flexibility to separate or combine the CEO and chair roles depending on which leadership structure is in the company's best interest at the time, and 24 have policies dictating the leadership structure. Among CEOs at the top 100 U.S. companies:
 - 63 serve as chair of the board
 - 37 do not serve as chair

17



ഗ

AL

SO

٩

5

- At the 37 companies where the Chair and CEO positions are not combined, 13 chairs are not independent

The Board recommends you vote **AGAINST** these shareholder proposals

- Vesting for government service prohibit vesting of equity-based awards for senior executives due to voluntary resignation to enter government service
 - The Government Office accelerated distribution provisions do not provide employees with a windfall. There is no additional reward for entering government service
 - Acceleration of awards granted in connection with past service to the Firm may occur only if government ethics or conflicts of interest laws require divestiture of unvested equity. Any awards accelerated under these provisions would also be subject to rigorous clawback provisions and postemployment obligations
 - All employees who are Full-Career Eligible ("FCE") are entitled to continued vesting of their outstanding awards in accordance with their terms whether they leave the Firm to enter government service or otherwise
 - The Government Office terms of our equity plan are the same for all employees who receive equity awards and provide no special benefit to senior executives
 - Our Government Office compensation provisions are intended to help us attract talented and dedicated people
 - The proxy statement discloses detailed information about the Government Office provisions. We have enhanced this disclosure in response to shareholder feedback (see pages 68 and 69 of the 2017 Proxy Statement for additional details)

Clawback amendment – defer compensation for 10 years to help satisfy any monetary penalty associated with violation of law

- The proposed amendment is overly prescriptive and would put JPMorgan Chase at a significant competitive disadvantage in attracting and retaining talent
 - The proposed policy would impose a monetary penalty, regardless of the responsibility of the individual officer
 - The policy would impose a 10-year deferral period that would hold officers at risk of excessively punitive action and is not consistent with peer practices
- Our long-standing clawback provisions, which include reduction, cancellation and recovery, are broader and more flexible than the proposed amendment – and they work
 - We have a history of invoking these clawback provisions to recover compensation and, where warranted, have publicly disclosed the details of such actions
 - In 2015, our Board went further in this regard and adopted a policy requiring public disclosure in the event the Firm recoups any incentive compensation from members of the Operating Committee or the Firm's Controller
- Strong ownership and retention requirements further strengthen the connection between executives and shareholders
- Risk and control issues (including settlement payments and fines) are integrated into our compensation framework

6

18

The Board recommends you vote **<u>AGAINST</u>** these shareholder proposals

Gender pay equity – prepare a report by October 2017 on the Company's policies and goals to reduce the gender pay gap

- Employees are our greatest asset, and we strive to attract talent from the broadest pool to foster innovation, creativity and productivity
 - We agree with the proponent that creating a diverse, inclusive and fair environment is critical to our success
- Our commitment to fairness in our workforce and workplace practices also extends to how we compensate our employees, in accordance with our overall pay for performance philosophy
- We have also established a series of initiatives and programs to help women achieve their career goals and aspirations and remove any barriers that may exist
- We continue to receive recognition in the market place for our diversity and inclusion practices
 - Seven of our senior women were identified by American Banker in the past year as the most powerful women in banking and finance, with another listed under women to watch. In March 2017, we published our Investing in Women Report, which includes additional information on our efforts
 Report can be found here: www.jpmorganchase.com/corporate/news/document/investing-in-women-march-2017.pdf
- The supporting statement of the proposal is overly prescriptive in its definition of an "adequate report"

How votes are counted – count votes using only for and against and ignore abstentions

- Changing the voting procedure would not be in the best interests of shareholders
- The current voting standard contained in our By-Laws treats shareholder and management proposals equally
- Counting abstention votes honors the intent of the shareholders
- Our vote counting methodology is consistent with Delaware law and is followed by the majority of Delaware corporations

Special shareowner meetings – reduce ownership threshold from 20% to 10%

- JPMorgan Chase provides for shareholder rights to call a special meeting and act by written consent while protecting the interests of the Firm and all of our shareholders
- The ownership threshold avoids the waste of corporate resources in addressing narrowly supported interests
- JPMorgan Chase provides significant opportunities for shareholders to engage with management and the Board
- The Firm has strong corporate governance standards

Notes

Notes on non-GAAP financial measures

- 1. In addition to analyzing the Firm's results on a reported basis, management reviews the Firm's results, including the results of the lines of business, on a "managed" basis, which are non-GAAP financial measures. The Firm's definition of managed basis starts with the reported U.S. GAAP results and includes certain reclassifications to present total net revenue for the Firm (and each of the reportable business segments) on a fully taxable-equivalent ("FTE") basis. Accordingly, revenue from investments that receive tax credits and tax-exempt securities is presented in the managed results on a basis comparable to taxable investments and securities. These non-GAAP financial measures allow management to assess the comparability of revenue year-to-year arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources. The corresponding income tax impact related to tax-exempt items is recorded within income tax expense. These adjustments have no impact on net income as reported by the Firm as a whole or by the lines of business. For a reconciliation of the Firm's results from a reported to managed basis, see page 48 of the Firm's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 ("2016 Form 10-K").
- 2. Tangible common equity ("TCE"), return on tangible common equity ("ROTCE") and tangible book value per share ("TBVPS"), are each non-GAAP financial measures. TCE represents the Firm's common stockholders' equity (i.e., total stockholders' equity less preferred stock) less goodwill and identifiable intangible assets (other than mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs")), net of related deferred tax liabilities. ROTCE measures the Firm's net income applicable to common equity as a percentage of average TCE. TBVPS represents the Firm's TCE at period-end divided by common shares at period-end. TCE, ROTCE, and TBVPS are utilized by the Firm, as well as investors and analysts, in assessing the Firm's use of equity. The following tables provide reconciliations and calculations of these measures for the periods presented.

Non-GAAP reconciliations

										Average								
	_	Year ended December 31,																
(in millions, except per share and ratio data)		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016
Common stockholders' equity	\$	129,116	\$	145,903	\$	161,520	\$	173,266	\$	184,352	\$	196,409	\$	207,400	\$	215,690	\$	224,631
Less: Goodwill		46,068		48,254		48,618		48,632		48,176		48,102		48,029		47,445		47,310
Less: Certain identifiable intangible assets		5,779		5,095		4,178		3,632		2,833		1,950		1,378		1,092		922
Add: Deferred tax liabilities ^(a)		2,369		2,547		2,587		2,635		2,754		2,885		2,950		2,964		3,212
Tangible common equity	\$	79,638	\$	95,101	\$	111,311	\$	123,637	\$	136,097	\$	149,242	\$	160,943	\$	170,117	\$	179,611
Net income applicable to common equity	\$	4,931	\$	9,289	\$	16,728	\$	18,327	\$	20,606	\$	17,081	\$	20,620	\$	22,927	\$	23,086
Return on equity ^(b)		49	6	6%	6	10%	6	119	6	119	6	9%	6	10%	b	119	6	10%
Return on tangible common equity(c)		6		10		15		15		15		11		13		13		13

					F	Period-end							
	_	December 31,											
(in millions, except per share data)		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
Common stockholders' equity	\$	134,945 \$	157,213 \$	168,067 \$	175,514 \$	194,727 \$	199,699 \$	211,664 \$	221,505 \$	228,122			
Less: Goodwill		48,027	48,357	48,854	48,188	48,175	48,081	47,647	47,325	47,288			
Less: Certain identifiable intangible assets		5,581	4,621	4,039	3,207	2,235	1,618	1,192	1,015	862			
Add: Deferred tax liabilities ^(a)		2,717	2,538	2,586	2,729	2,803	2,953	2,853	3,148	3,230			
Tangible common equity	\$	84,054 \$	106,773 \$	117,760 \$	126,848 \$	147,120 \$	152,953 \$	165,678 \$	176,313 \$	183,202			
Common shares		3,732.8	3,942.0	3,910.3	3,772.7	3,804.0	3,756.1	3,714.8	3,663.5	3,561.2			
Book value per share ^(d)	\$	36.15 \$	39.88 \$	42.98 \$	46.52 \$	51.19 \$	53.17 \$	56.98 \$	60.46 \$	64.06			
Tangible book value per share ^(e)		22.52	27.09	30.12	33.62	38.68	40.72	44.60	48.13	51.44			

- (a) Represents deferred tax liabilities related to tax-deductible goodwill and to identifiable intangibles created in nontaxable transactions, which are netted against goodwill and other intangibles when calculating TCE.
- (b) Represents net income applicable to common equity / average common stockholders' equity.
- (c) Represents net income applicable to common equity / average tangible common equity.
- (d) Represents common stockholders' equity at period-end / common shares at period-end.
- (e) Represents tangible common equity at period-end / common shares at period-end.

1. Common equity Tier 1 ("CET1") capital and the CET1 capital ratios under the Basel III Fully Phased-In capital rules, to which the Firm will be subject commencing January 1, 2019, are considered key regulatory capital measures. These measures are used by management, bank regulators, investors and analysts to assess and monitor the Firm's capital position. For additional information on these measures, see Capital Risk Management on pages 76-85 of the 2016 Form 10-K.

Footnotes

Notes on Slide 7: "Proposal #1: Election of directors"

- 1. Director of a heritage company of the Firm as follows: Bank One Corporation: Mr. Burke (2003-2004), Mr. Crown (1996-2004), Mr. Dimon, Chairman of the Board (2000-2004), and Mr. Jackson (1993-2004); First Chicago Corp.: Mr. Crown (1991-1996); and J.P. Morgan & Co. Incorporated: Mr. Raymond (1987-2000).
- 2. Principal standing committees. In March 2017, Ms. Bammann became Chair of the Directors' Risk Policy Committee and stepped down from the Public Responsibility Committee; Mr. Bell became Chair of the Audit Committee; Mr. Combs joined the Directors' Risk Policy Committee and the Public Responsibility Committee; and Mr. Flynn joined the Audit Committee and stepped down from the Directors' Risk Policy Committee.
- 3. Retired from JPMorgan Chase & Co. in 2005.

Notes on Slide 9: "Pay elements for the 2016 performance year"

- 1. Due to local regulations, Mr. Pinto receives a fixed allowance, did not receive a cash bonus, and both his RSUs and PSUs are subject to (a) extended seven year vesting (commencing ratably on the third year anniversary of grant); (b) additional U.K. clawback/recovery provisions; and (c) a minimum six-month hold after each vesting. In addition, as it relates to Mr. Pinto's PSUs, the CMDC may use its discretion, if appropriate, to downward adjust payout (to 0%) based on his performance against qualitative criteria and priorities during the performance period. U.K. regulators review compensation structures for Identified Staff annually and may request future adjustments.
- 2. Additional information on recovery and clawback provisions is provided on page 59 of the 2017 Proxy Statement.
- 3. Restricted Stock Units
- 4. Performance Stock Units

Slide 11: "Performance Share Unit ("PSU") program overview"

- 1. ROTCE is calculated for each year in the Performance Period using unadjusted reported data as set forth in public financial disclosures.
- 2. Due to local regulations, Mr. Pinto receives a fixed allowance, did not receive a cash bonus, and both his RSUs and PSUs are subject to (a) extended seven year vesting (commencing ratably on the third year anniversary of grant); (b) additional U.K. clawback/recovery provisions; and (c) a minimum six-month hold after each vesting. In addition, as it relates to Mr. Pinto's PSUs, the CMDC may use its discretion, if appropriate, to downward adjust payout (to 0%) based on his performance against qualitative criteria and priorities during the performance period. U.K. regulators review compensation structures for Identified Staff annually and may request future adjustments.

Forward-looking statements

This proxy statement contains forward-looking statements with respect to JPMorgan Chase & Co.'s culture and controls, environmental, social and governance efforts and The Supplier Code of Conduct. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements often use words such as "anticipate," "target," "expect," "estimate," "intend," "plan," "goal," "believe," or other words of similar meaning. Forward-looking statements provide JPMorgan Chase & Co.'s current expectations or forecasts of future events, circumstances, results or aspirations, and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties could cause the Firm's actual results to differ materially from those set forth in such forward-looking statements. Certain of such risks and uncertainties are described in JPMorgan Chase & Co.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. JPMorgan Chase & Co. does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that may arise after the date the forward-looking statements were made.